

Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165  
Beko Reblitz-Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027  
**BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP**  
44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Tel.: (415) 293-6800  
mmao@bsflp.com  
brichardson@bsflp.com

Jesse Panuccio (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
**BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP**  
1401 New York Ave, NW  
Washington, DC 20005  
Tel.: (202) 237-2727  
Fax: (202) 237-6131  
jpanuccio@bsflp.com

Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891  
**SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.**  
1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Tel: (310) 789-3100  
Fax: (310) 789-3150  
abonn@susmangodfrey.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, JULIEANNA  
MUNIZ, ELIZA CAMBAY, SAL  
CATALDO, EMIR GOENAGA, JULIAN  
SANTIAGO, HAROLD NYANJOM,  
KELLIE NYANJOM, and SUSAN LYNN  
HARVEY, individually and on behalf of all  
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

William Christopher Carmody  
(admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Shawn J. Rabin (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Steven M. Shepard (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
**SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.**  
1301 Avenue of the Americas,  
32<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10019  
Tel.: (212) 336-8330  
bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com  
srabin@susmangodfrey.com  
sshepard@susmangodfrey.com

John A. Yanchunis (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Ryan J. McGee (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Michael F. Ram (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Ra O. Amen (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
**MORGAN & MORGAN**  
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor  
Tampa, FL 33602  
Tel.: (813) 223-5505  
jyanchunis@forthepeople.com  
rmcgee@forthepeople.com  
mram@forthepeople.com  
ramen@forthepeople.com

Case No.: 3:20-cv-04688

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING  
GOOGLE'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE  
FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

The Honorable Richard Seeborg  
Courtroom 3 – 17th Floor  
Date: March 4, 2021  
Time: 1:30 p.m.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Before the Court is Google LLC's ("Google") Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint ("Motion to Dismiss"). Having considered the parties' papers filed in support of and in opposition to the motion, argument by counsel, and all other matters properly considered by this Court, the Court finds there is good cause to **DENY** Google's Motion to Dismiss.

**THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED** that for the reasons stated in the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss, the Motion to Dismiss is **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Richard Seeborg  
United States District Judge